OTP E Attended to Section 2001 DECLARATION AND POL

PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

first, and joint inventor for which a patent is s	r (if plural names are listed boought on the invention entitle ACCESS TO MEMORY BAS	if only one name is listed below) elow) of the subject matter which ed SED ON RUN AND SKIP COUN	h is claime	
the specification of wh	nich			
	ached hereto. iled on (MM/DD/YYYY)N United States Application or PCT International Appl and was amended on (MI	Number <u>09/823,733</u> ication Number		as
		d the contents of the above-iden by any amendment referred to al		
	y to disclose all information l de of Federal Regulations, S	known to me to be material to pa Section 1.56.	atentability	as
foreign application(s)	for patent or inventor's certifi n for patent or inventor's cert priority is claimed:	85, United States Code, Section cate listed below and have also ificate having a filing date before	identified b	below e y
THOIT OF EIGHT Applicat	<u>ющо</u>		<u>Olaime</u>	<u>,u</u>
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the ben provisional application		ates Code, Section 119(e) of an	y United S	itates
Application Number	(Filing Date -	MM/DD/YYYY)		
Application Number	(Filing Date -	MM/DD/YYYY)		



I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Number	(Filing Date -	– MM/DD/YYYY)	Status patent pend	ed, ing, abandoned
Application Number	(Filing Date -	– MM/DD/YYYY)		ed, ing, abandoned
hereby appoint the persons part of this document) as my substitution and revocation, and Trademark Office conne	y respective pat to prosecute th	ent attorneys and	l patent agents, wit	h full power of
Send correspondence to _	Michael J. M	lallie	_, BLAKELY, SOK	OLOFF, TAYLOR 8
ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilsh elephone calls to Mich		7th Floor, Los <i>i</i> , (40	ingeles, California 3) 720-8300.	a 90025 and direct
horoby doclars that all st				e true and that all
statements made on inform statements were made wit are punishable by fine or i States Code and that such	th the knowled imprisonment, n willful false s	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may	lse statements ar Section 1001 of Ti	nd the like so made tle 18 of the United
statements made on informate on informate on informate with the state of the states and the states code and that such application or any patent in the states of the state	th the knowled imprisonment, n willful false s issued thereon	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may	lse statements ar Section 1001 of Ti	nd the like so made tle 18 of the United
statements made on information information in the statements were made with the punishable by fine or instates Code and that such application or any patent in the sum of Sole/First Inverse.	th the knowled imprisonment, n willful false s issued thereon	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may	lse statements ar Section 1001 of Ti	nd the like so made tle 18 of the United idity of the
statements made on information in the statements were made with the punishable by fine or instates Code and that such application or any patent in the such application of Sole/First Investment of	th the knowled imprisonment, a willful false s issued thereon enter <u>Edward L</u>	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may . Schwartz	Ise statements ar Section 1001 of Ti eopardize the val	ad the like so made tle 18 of the United idity of the
statements made on information in the statements were made with the states code and that such application or any patent in the states of Sole/First Inventor's Signature of Sole/First Inventor	th the knowled imprisonment, a willful false s issued thereon enter <u>Edward L</u>	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may . Schwartz	lse statements ar Section 1001 of Ti eopardize the val	ad the like so made tle 18 of the United idity of the
statements made on information in the statements were made with a punishable by fine or instates Code and that such application or any patent in the state of Sole/First Invertor's Signature Sunnyvale, Compost Office Address 713	th the knowled imprisonment, in willful false sissued thereon enter <u>Edward Lalifornia</u>	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may Schwartz	Ise statements ar Section 1001 of Ti eopardize the val	ad the like so made tle 18 of the United idity of the
statements made on information in the statements were made with the punishable by fine or instates Code and that such application or any patent in the sum of Sole/First Inventor's Signature Sunnyvale, Code of Cost Office Address 713	th the knowled imprisonment, a willful false s issued thereon entor Edward Lalifornia City, State) B Pierino Avenue innyvale, California	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may . Schwartz Ci	Ise statements ar Section 1001 of Ti eopardize the val	ad the like so made tle 18 of the United idity of the
statements made on information in the statements were made with the punishable by fine or instates Code and that such application or any patent in the such application or any patent in	th the knowled imprisonment, a willful false s issued thereon entor Edward Lalifornia City, State) B Pierino Avenue innyvale, California	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may . Schwartz Ci	Ise statements ar Section 1001 of Ti eopardize the val	d the like so made tle 18 of the United idity of the (Country)
statements made on informaticatements were made with the punishable by fine or instates Code and that such application or any patent in the pull Name of Sole/First Inventor's Signature Sesidence Sunnyvale, Code of Cost Office Address 713 Sun Sun Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor's Signature	th the knowled imprisonment, in willful false sissued thereon entor Edward Latifornia (City, State) B Pierino Avenue innyvale, California (City, State) B Pierino Avenue innyvale, California (City, State) B Pierino Avenue innyvale, California (City, State)	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may be a second or both, under tatements may be a second or both	Ise statements ar Section 1001 of Ti section 1001 o	d the like so made tle 18 of the United idity of the (Country)
statements made on informaticatements were made with the punishable by fine or instates Code and that such application or any patent in the pulication or any	th the knowled imprisonment, in willful false sissued thereon entor Edward Latifornia (City, State) B Pierino Avenue innyvale, California (City, State) B Pierino Avenue innyvale, California (City, State) B Pierino Avenue innyvale, California (City, State)	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may be a second or both, under tatements may be a second or both	Ise statements are Section 1001 of Ti section 1001 of Ti section 1001 of Ti section 1001 of Ti section Date	d the like so made tle 18 of the United idity of the (Country)
Statements made on informatiatements were made with the punishable by fine or instates Code and that such application or any patent in the punishable by fine or instates Code and that such application or any patent in the pull Name of Sole/First Invertion or any patent in the pull Name	th the knowled imprisonment, a willful false sissued thereon entor Edward Later (City, State) B Pierino Avenue (Californ (City, State)) B Pierino Avenue (Californ (City, State))	ge that willful fa or both, under tatements may be a second or both, under tatements may be a second or both	Ise statements are Section 1001 of Ti section 1001	d the like so made the 18 of the United idity of the (Country)





William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,261; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Lisa N. Benado, Reg. No. 39,995; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Donna Jo Coningsby, Reg. No. 41,684; Florin Corie, Reg. No. 46,244; Mimi Diemmy Dao, Reg. No. 45,628; Dennis M. deGuzman, Reg. No. 41,702; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,503; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. 46,145; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; Thomas S. Ferrill, Reg. No. 42,532; George Fountain, Reg. No. 37,374; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Libby N. Ho, Reg. No. 46,774; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Sang Hui Kim, Reg. No. 40,450; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; George Brian Leavell, Reg. No. 45,436; Kurt P. Leyendecker, Reg. No. 42,799; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Carol Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Robert G. Litts, Reg. No. 46,876; Julio Loza, Reg. No. P47,758; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, Reg. No. P048,095; Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Clive D. Menezes, Reg. No. 45,493; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,878; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Robert B. O'Rourke, Reg. No. 46,972; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Kenneth B. Paley, Reg. No. 38,989; Gregg A. Peacock, Reg. No. 45,001; Marina Portnova, Reg. No. 45,750; William F. Ryann, Reg. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey S. Schubert, Reg. No. 43,098; George Simion, Reg. No. P47,089; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; Joseph A. Twarowski, Reg. No. 42,191; Mark C. Van Ness, Reg. No. 39,865; Tom Van Zandt, Reg. No. 43,219; Brent E. Vecchia, Reg. No. P48,011; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Archana B. Vittal, Reg. No. 45,182; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Mark L. Watson, Reg. No. 46,322; Thomas C. Webster, Reg. No. 46,154; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and Firasat Ali, Reg. No. 45,715; and Raul Martinez, Reg. No. 46,904, my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.



Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.